

Cambridge
International
AS & A Level

Cambridge International Examinations
Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

ACCOUNTING

9706/33

Paper 3 Structured Questions

May/June 2017

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 150

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

© IGCSE is a registered trademark.

This document consists of **16** printed pages.

Question	Answer	Marks																
1(a)	Provide information about the financial position (1) and financial performance (1), and cash flows (1) of an entity. Useful to a wide range or users in making economic decisions. (1) Max 2	2																
1(b)	<p>XY plc – Income Statement for year ended 31 January 2017</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td>Revenue</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ 985 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost of sales</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>448 600</u> (3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gross profit</td> <td style="text-align: right;">536 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Distribution costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">201 200 (5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Administrative expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>390 428</u> (4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss from operations</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(55 228) (1)OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Finance cost</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5 000 (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss for the year</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>(60 228)</u> (1)OF</td> </tr> </table>	Revenue	\$ 985 000	Cost of sales	<u>448 600</u> (3)	Gross profit	536 400	Distribution costs	201 200 (5)	Administrative expenses	<u>390 428</u> (4)	Loss from operations	(55 228) (1)OF	Finance cost	5 000 (1)	Loss for the year	<u>(60 228)</u> (1)OF	15
Revenue	\$ 985 000																	
Cost of sales	<u>448 600</u> (3)																	
Gross profit	536 400																	
Distribution costs	201 200 (5)																	
Administrative expenses	<u>390 428</u> (4)																	
Loss from operations	(55 228) (1)OF																	
Finance cost	5 000 (1)																	
Loss for the year	<u>(60 228)</u> (1)OF																	

Question	Answer	Marks																																		
	<p>Workings</p> <p>W1 Cost of sales</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr><td>Opening inventory</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 37 100</td></tr> <tr><td>Purchases</td><td style="text-align: right;">428 000</td></tr> <tr><td>Closing inventory $100 \times \\$65 + \\$36\,000$</td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>42 500 (1)</u></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td style="text-align: right;">422 600</td></tr> <tr><td>Machine depreciation</td><td style="text-align: right;">10 000 (1)</td></tr> <tr><td>Depreciation on buildings</td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>16 000 (1)</u></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td style="text-align: right;">448 600</td></tr> </table> <p>W2 Distribution costs</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr><td>Per original</td><td style="text-align: right;">144 000</td></tr> <tr><td>Depreciation motor vehicles*</td><td style="text-align: right;">29 200</td></tr> <tr><td>Loss on disposal of motor vehicle</td><td style="text-align: right;">28 000 (1)</td></tr> <tr><td>20 000 – 48 000</td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>201 200</u></td></tr> </table> <p>*Motor vehicle at cost 230 000 + 60 000 – 75 000 Accumulated depreciation \$96 000 – \$27 000</p> <p>20% depreciation thereof</p> <p>W3 Administrative expenses</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr><td>Per original</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 346 000</td></tr> <tr><td>Depreciation equipment $320\,000 \times 20\%$</td><td style="text-align: right;">64 000 (1)</td></tr> <tr><td>Irrecoverable debt</td><td style="text-align: right;">8 800 (1)</td></tr> <tr><td>Provision for doubtful debts</td><td style="text-align: right;">1 628 (1)</td></tr> <tr><td>$(102\,000 - 8800) \times 4\% - 2100$</td><td style="text-align: right;"><u>(30 000)</u></td></tr> <tr><td>Less: cost of machine</td><td style="text-align: right;">390 428 (1)</td></tr> </table>	Opening inventory	\$ 37 100	Purchases	428 000	Closing inventory $100 \times \$65 + \$36\,000$	<u>42 500 (1)</u>		422 600	Machine depreciation	10 000 (1)	Depreciation on buildings	<u>16 000 (1)</u>		448 600	Per original	144 000	Depreciation motor vehicles*	29 200	Loss on disposal of motor vehicle	28 000 (1)	20 000 – 48 000	<u>201 200</u>	Per original	\$ 346 000	Depreciation equipment $320\,000 \times 20\%$	64 000 (1)	Irrecoverable debt	8 800 (1)	Provision for doubtful debts	1 628 (1)	$(102\,000 - 8800) \times 4\% - 2100$	<u>(30 000)</u>	Less: cost of machine	390 428 (1)	
Opening inventory	\$ 37 100																																			
Purchases	428 000																																			
Closing inventory $100 \times \$65 + \$36\,000$	<u>42 500 (1)</u>																																			
	422 600																																			
Machine depreciation	10 000 (1)																																			
Depreciation on buildings	<u>16 000 (1)</u>																																			
	448 600																																			
Per original	144 000																																			
Depreciation motor vehicles*	29 200																																			
Loss on disposal of motor vehicle	28 000 (1)																																			
20 000 – 48 000	<u>201 200</u>																																			
Per original	\$ 346 000																																			
Depreciation equipment $320\,000 \times 20\%$	64 000 (1)																																			
Irrecoverable debt	8 800 (1)																																			
Provision for doubtful debts	1 628 (1)																																			
$(102\,000 - 8800) \times 4\% - 2100$	<u>(30 000)</u>																																			
Less: cost of machine	390 428 (1)																																			

Question	Answer	Marks																								
1(c)	<p style="text-align: center;">XY plc</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Statement of Changes in Equity for year ended 31 January 2017</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Share \$</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Share \$</th> <th style="width: 30%; text-align: center;">Retained \$</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Balance at start of year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">500 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">120 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">125 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss for the year</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(60 228) (1) OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dividend paid</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(20 000) (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bonus shares</td> <td style="text-align: right;">50 000 (1)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(50 000) (1)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Balance at end of year</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">550 000</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">70 000</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">44 772</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Share \$	Share \$	Retained \$	Balance at start of year	500 000	120 000	125 000	Loss for the year			(60 228) (1) OF	Dividend paid			(20 000) (1)	Bonus shares	50 000 (1)	(50 000) (1)		Balance at end of year	550 000	70 000	44 772	4
	Share \$	Share \$	Retained \$																							
Balance at start of year	500 000	120 000	125 000																							
Loss for the year			(60 228) (1) OF																							
Dividend paid			(20 000) (1)																							
Bonus shares	50 000 (1)	(50 000) (1)																								
Balance at end of year	550 000	70 000	44 772																							
1(d)	<p>Responses may include:</p> <p>Bonus issue</p> <p>Shareholders may be expecting a cash bonus each year. Stop giving return to shareholders may be a negative signal about the performance of the company Company retains cash for other investment opportunities The interest of shareholders is not diluted by receiving the proportionate number of bonus shares Transfer from reserves</p> <p>Cash dividend</p> <p>Company maintains the practice of giving out cash returns to shareholders constantly Company may have liquidity problem in paying out cash dividend Short term benefit (cash) vs long term benefit (shares value increase). Accept any reasonable alternatives</p> <p>Advice 1 mark and 3 max for relevant points</p> <p>For each valid point, 1 mark for basic explanation and 2 marks for developed explanation</p>	4																								
	Total:	25																								

Question	Answer	Marks																																																									
2(a)	<p>G Limited</p> <p>Revised statement of financial position at 31 December 2016</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;"></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">\$ 642 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non-current assets</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current assets</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Inventory</td> <td style="text-align: right;">78 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Trade receivables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">179 400 (2)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Other receivables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">63 000 (3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Cash and cash equivalents</td> <td style="text-align: right;">54 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 3px double black;">374 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total assets</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 3px double black;">1 016 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Equity and liabilities</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Equity</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Ordinary shares of \$1 each</td> <td style="text-align: right;">550 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Retained earnings</td> <td style="text-align: right;">258 400 (4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 3px double black;">808 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current liabilities</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Trade payables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">171 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Other payables</td> <td style="text-align: right;">37 000 (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 3px double black;">208 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total equity and liabilities</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 3px double black;">1 016 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Working</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trade receivables</td> <td>\$189 000 – (\$12 000 (1) × 80% (1)) = \$179 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other receivables</td> <td>\$3000 + \$20 000 (1) + \$40 000 (1) = \$63 000 (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other payables</td> <td>\$10 000 + \$27 000 = \$37 000 (1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Retained earnings</td> <td>\$235 000 – \$9600(1) – \$27 000(1) + \$20 000(1) + \$40 000 (1) = \$258 400</td> </tr> </table>		\$ 642 000	Non-current assets		Current assets		Inventory	78 000	Trade receivables	179 400 (2)	Other receivables	63 000 (3)	Cash and cash equivalents	54 000		374 400	 		Total assets	1 016 400	 		Equity and liabilities		Equity		Ordinary shares of \$1 each	550 000	Retained earnings	258 400 (4)		808 400	 		Current liabilities		Trade payables	171 000	Other payables	37 000 (1)		208 000	 		Total equity and liabilities	1 016 400	 		Working		Trade receivables	\$189 000 – (\$12 000 (1) × 80% (1)) = \$179 400	Other receivables	\$3000 + \$20 000 (1) + \$40 000 (1) = \$63 000 (1)	Other payables	\$10 000 + \$27 000 = \$37 000 (1)	Retained earnings	\$235 000 – \$9600(1) – \$27 000(1) + \$20 000(1) + \$40 000 (1) = \$258 400
	\$ 642 000																																																										
Non-current assets																																																											
Current assets																																																											
Inventory	78 000																																																										
Trade receivables	179 400 (2)																																																										
Other receivables	63 000 (3)																																																										
Cash and cash equivalents	54 000																																																										
	374 400																																																										
Total assets	1 016 400																																																										
Equity and liabilities																																																											
Equity																																																											
Ordinary shares of \$1 each	550 000																																																										
Retained earnings	258 400 (4)																																																										
	808 400																																																										
Current liabilities																																																											
Trade payables	171 000																																																										
Other payables	37 000 (1)																																																										
	208 000																																																										
Total equity and liabilities	1 016 400																																																										
Working																																																											
Trade receivables	\$189 000 – (\$12 000 (1) × 80% (1)) = \$179 400																																																										
Other receivables	\$3000 + \$20 000 (1) + \$40 000 (1) = \$63 000 (1)																																																										
Other payables	\$10 000 + \$27 000 = \$37 000 (1)																																																										
Retained earnings	\$235 000 – \$9600(1) – \$27 000(1) + \$20 000(1) + \$40 000 (1) = \$258 400																																																										

 10 |

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	<p>Rental deposit paid which is refundable at the end of the lease period should be treated as current asset, i.e. realised within 12 months (1)</p> <p>Prepaid rent \$40 000 ($\\$200\,000 \times 2 / 10$) should be treated as current assets (i.e. realised within 12 months) and only \$160 000 is recognised as expense of the year – accrual concept (1)</p> <p>The company has breached the law (present obligation arising from past events) and the penalty to be paid is regarded as a liability. (1) A provision for penalty \$27 000 should be charged to income statement with the creation of liability at the same time – IAS 37 (1)</p> <p>\$47 000 expected to be incurred to rebuild the fire exists is not a present obligation. (1). Accrual or disclosure of this amount is not required.</p>	5
2(c)	<p>Auditor provides reassurance to shareholders that the accounts are true records of the business activities Auditor expresses his/her opinion whether the financial statements give a true and fair view carry out checks to ensure that the directors have acted in the best interest of the shareholders. To prevent fraud 1 mark for each valid point + 1 mark for development. Max 4 marks</p>	4
2(d)	<p>Auditor is appointed by shareholders, not directors The auditor is accountable to shareholders 1 mark for each valid point. Max 2</p>	2

Question	Answer	Marks
2(e)	<p>Responses could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FIFO and AVCO are accounting methods in costing inventories permitted by the international accounting standard (IAS 2); to adopt which method is the accounting policy of the business • Business should select and apply its accounting policies consistently • Financial statements should contain relevant and reliable information • Business shall change an accounting policy only if the change (1) is required by the accounting standards; or (2) results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information about the effects of transactions. • The cost of goods has an increasing trend. FIFO method attracts a higher inventory value and therefore a higher gross profit. • The directors cannot change the method if its purpose is only to improve the profitability. <p>Accept any reasonable alternative</p> <p>(1 mark) for recommendation (1 mark) × 3 valid reasons</p>	4
	Total:	25

Question	Answer	Marks																														
3(a)	<p>Separate from own business (2). Identify share of profit for each (2). Shared responsibility (2). Flexibility (2). Identification 1 + development 1. Max. 2 benefits.</p>	4																														
3(b)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sales income</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost of goods</td> <td style="text-align: right;">25 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 700</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Commission</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 500</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Profit</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(30 200)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Split:</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">4 800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Greaves</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hurst</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 600</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1) OF</td> </tr> </table>		\$	35 000	Sales income			Cost of goods	25 000	(1)	Expenses	1 700	(1)	Commission	3 500	(1)	Profit		(30 200)	Split:		4 800	Greaves		3 200	Hurst		1 600			(1) OF	6
	\$	35 000																														
Sales income																																
Cost of goods	25 000	(1)																														
Expenses	1 700	(1)																														
Commission	3 500	(1)																														
Profit		(30 200)																														
Split:		4 800																														
Greaves		3 200																														
Hurst		1 600																														
		(1) OF																														
3(c)(i)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Greaves account</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – goods</td> <td style="text-align: right;">15 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">900</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – Comm</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 500</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – Profit</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 200</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – cash</td> <td style="text-align: right;">12 400</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>35 000</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Sales</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> </table>	Greaves account				\$	35 000	J.V – goods	15 000	(1)	J.V – expenses	900	(1)	J.V – Comm	3 500	(1)	J.V – Profit	3 200	(1)	J.V – cash	12 400			<u>35 000</u>		Sales		35 000			(1)	5
Greaves account																																
	\$	35 000																														
J.V – goods	15 000	(1)																														
J.V – expenses	900	(1)																														
J.V – Comm	3 500	(1)																														
J.V – Profit	3 200	(1)																														
J.V – cash	12 400																															
	<u>35 000</u>																															
Sales		35 000																														
		(1)																														
3(c)(ii)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Hurst account</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">12 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – goods</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – expenses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">800</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J.V – Profit</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 600</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">OF</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>12 400</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">J.V – cash</td> <td style="text-align: right;">12 400</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1) OF BOTH</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>12 400</u></td> </tr> </table>	Hurst account				\$	12 400	J.V – goods	10 000	(1)	J.V – expenses	800	(1)	J.V – Profit	1 600	(1)		OF			<u>12 400</u>		J.V – cash		12 400			(1) OF BOTH			<u>12 400</u>	4
Hurst account																																
	\$	12 400																														
J.V – goods	10 000	(1)																														
J.V – expenses	800	(1)																														
J.V – Profit	1 600	(1)																														
	OF																															
	<u>12 400</u>																															
J.V – cash		12 400																														
		(1) OF BOTH																														
		<u>12 400</u>																														

Question	Answer	Marks
3(d)	<p>Advice (1) Justification (5)</p> <p>Benefits of partnership Continuity (1) Long-term relationship (1) Formalised agreement (1) Easier to raise finance (1) Max 3</p> <p>Disadvantages of partnership Unlimited liability Decision making is more difficult Partners bound by agreement Partners jointly responsible for debts Short-term Max 2</p>	6
	Total:	25

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	LM plc AB plc	4
4(a)(i)	$\frac{125\,000 - 4000}{600\,000} = \0.20 $\frac{175\,000 - 6000}{500\,000} = \0.34	
4(a)(ii)	$\frac{1.80}{0.20} = 9.00$ (times) $\frac{2.20}{0.34} = 6.47$ (times) (1) OF	
4(a)(iii)	$\frac{0.10}{1.80} \times 100\% = 5.56\%$ $\frac{0.10}{2.20} \times 100\% = 4.55\%$ (1)	
4(a)(iv)	$\frac{125\,000 - 4000}{60\,000} = 2.02$ times $\frac{175\,000 - 6000}{50\,000} = 3.38$ times	
4(b)	Portion of profit available to shareholders of AB plc is larger. (1) AB plc is better. (1) The current market price compared to earnings per share of LM plc is higher. (1) LM plc is better. (1) Dividend expressed as a percentage of the market value. It is higher for LM plc (1) LM plc is better. (1) The number of times that dividends may be paid out of available profits is higher for AB plc. (1) AB plc is better. (1)	8
4(c)(i)	Gearing is the proportion of long term debt (1) to equity and long term debt (1) expressed as a percentage. Max 2	2

Question	Answer	Marks
4(c)(ii)	<p>LM plc $\frac{250\,000}{725\,000} \times 100\% = 34.48\%$ (1) AB plc $\frac{200\,000}{1\,000\,000} \times 100\% = 20\%$ (1)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>$\frac{250\,000}{725\,000 - (4000 + 60\,000)} = 37.82\%$ OR</p> <p>$\frac{200\,000}{1\,000\,000 - (6000 + 50\,000)} = 21.19\%$</p>	2
4(c)(iii)	<p>LM plc is above the industry average (1) whilst AB plc is below the industry average. (1) Both are low geared companies (1) and the industry average suggests that competitors are also low geared (1) as the average is below 50%. (1) James could therefore expect to receive future dividends provided that the companies continue to be profitable. (1)</p> <p>Max 5</p>	5
4(d)	<p>The ratios give mixed messages. (1)OF LM plc is favourable for price earnings and dividend yield (1)of but AB plc is favourable for earnings per share and dividend cover. (1)OF James may be concerned that the market value of LM has fallen in the past year. (1) AB plc is more lowly geared (1) and James may feel this to be a safer investment. (1)OF I would advise James to invest in AB plc. (1)OF</p> <p>Other valid points Max 3 + Decision 1</p>	4
	Total:	25

Question	Answer	Marks																		
5(a)	Because the actual level of production is different from the budget. (1) So that meaningful comparisons can be made. (1)	2																		
5(b)	<p style="text-align: center;">EF plc Budgeted profit for March</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>Revenue</td> <td style="text-align: right;">120 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Direct material</td> <td style="text-align: right;">19 200</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Direct labour</td> <td style="text-align: right;">48 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Variable overhead</td> <td style="text-align: right;">9 600</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fixed overhead</td> <td style="text-align: right;">14 000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Profit</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">29 200</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black;">(1)OF</td> </tr> </table>	Revenue	120 000	(1)	Direct material	19 200	(1)	Direct labour	48 000	(1)	Variable overhead	9 600	(1)	Fixed overhead	14 000	(1)	Profit	29 200	(1)OF	6
Revenue	120 000	(1)																		
Direct material	19 200	(1)																		
Direct labour	48 000	(1)																		
Variable overhead	9 600	(1)																		
Fixed overhead	14 000	(1)																		
Profit	29 200	(1)OF																		
5(c)(i)	Direct labour rate variance \$1024 favourable (2)	2																		
5(c)(ii)	Direct labour efficiency variance \$3200 adverse (2)	2																		
5(c)(iii)	Total direct labour variance \$2176 adverse (1)OF	1																		
	Note: one mark for amount and second for direction on each variance																			
5(d)(i)	Actual hours = $\frac{\$1620}{0.2} = 8100$ (1)OF	2																		
5(d)(ii)	Standard hours = 8100 (1of) – $\frac{\$18\,000}{\$10} = 6300$ (1)OF Number of units = $\frac{\$6300}{\$6} = 1050$ (1)OF	5																		
5(e)	Machine breakdown Lack of staff training Lower grade of staff Problems with materials Poor motivation Any two reasons for (1) each	2																		

Question	Answer	Marks
5(f)	Resistance Training costs Loss in production while training May not help if real cause of variances is not found Max 3	3
	Total:	25

Question	Answer	Marks																																																																				
6(a)	<p>Calculate the cost driver rates</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td>Product X</td> <td>10 000 units × 2.5hrs</td> <td>Machine hrs</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>=</td> <td>25 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Product Y</td> <td>14 000 units × 0.5 hrs</td> <td>=</td> <td>7 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>32 000</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>Overhead costs</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <tr> <td>Machine maintenance costs</td> <td>$\frac{\\$264\,000}{32\,000} = \\8.25</td> <td>Per machine hour</td> <td>(1) OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ordering costs</td> <td>$\frac{\\$54\,000}{80} = \\675</td> <td>Per order</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Production run costs</td> <td>$\frac{\\$24\,000}{48} = \\500</td> <td>Per set up</td> <td>(1)</td> </tr> </table> <p>Allocate overheads to products</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Product X</th> <th>Product Y</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>\$</th> <th>\$</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Machine hrs</td> <td>25 000 × \$8.25</td> <td>7 000 × \$8.25</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Orders</td> <td>20 × \$675</td> <td>60 × \$675</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Production runs</td> <td>12 × \$500</td> <td>36 × \$500</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>225 750</td> <td>116 250</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Units</td> <td>÷ 10 000</td> <td>÷ 14 000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overhead cost</td> <td>\$ 22.58</td> <td>\$ 8.30</td> <td>(1) OF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Direct cost +</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>50.00</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Full cost per unit</td> <td>122.58</td> <td>58.30</td> <td>(1) OF both</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Product X	10 000 units × 2.5hrs	Machine hrs			=	25 000		Product Y	14 000 units × 0.5 hrs	=	7 000				<u>32 000</u>	Machine maintenance costs	$\frac{\$264\,000}{32\,000} = \8.25	Per machine hour	(1) OF	Ordering costs	$\frac{\$54\,000}{80} = \675	Per order	(1)	Production run costs	$\frac{\$24\,000}{48} = \500	Per set up	(1)		Product X	Product Y			\$	\$		Machine hrs	25 000 × \$8.25	7 000 × \$8.25	(1) OF both	Orders	20 × \$675	60 × \$675	(1) OF both	Production runs	12 × \$500	36 × \$500	(1) OF both		225 750	116 250		Units	÷ 10 000	÷ 14 000		Overhead cost	\$ 22.58	\$ 8.30	(1) OF	Direct cost +	100.00	50.00		Full cost per unit	122.58	58.30	(1) OF both	10
Product X	10 000 units × 2.5hrs	Machine hrs																																																																				
	=	25 000																																																																				
Product Y	14 000 units × 0.5 hrs	=	7 000																																																																			
			<u>32 000</u>																																																																			
Machine maintenance costs	$\frac{\$264\,000}{32\,000} = \8.25	Per machine hour	(1) OF																																																																			
Ordering costs	$\frac{\$54\,000}{80} = \675	Per order	(1)																																																																			
Production run costs	$\frac{\$24\,000}{48} = \500	Per set up	(1)																																																																			
	Product X	Product Y																																																																				
	\$	\$																																																																				
Machine hrs	25 000 × \$8.25	7 000 × \$8.25	(1) OF both																																																																			
Orders	20 × \$675	60 × \$675	(1) OF both																																																																			
Production runs	12 × \$500	36 × \$500	(1) OF both																																																																			
	225 750	116 250																																																																				
Units	÷ 10 000	÷ 14 000																																																																				
Overhead cost	\$ 22.58	\$ 8.30	(1) OF																																																																			
Direct cost +	100.00	50.00																																																																				
Full cost per unit	122.58	58.30	(1) OF both																																																																			

Question	Answer	Marks
6(b)	<p>Direct labour hour basis $\frac{\\$342\,000}{28\,500\text{ (1)}}$ = \$12 /hr $\times 0.75$</p> <p>Direct labour hours</p> <p>Product X \$ 9.00 (1) OF $\times 1.50$</p> <p>Product Y \$ 18.00 (1)OF</p>	3
6(c)	<p>If he uses ABC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cost of X increases. } • The cost of Y decreases. (1) both <p>Direct labour hours</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on direct labour hours. Product Y has 2 times more hours per unit than product X. Therefore two times more share of overhead costs. (1) <p>ABC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • X has less set ups and orders than Y so takes less share of overhead costs (1) • X has more machine hours than Y so takes larger portion of machine based overheads (1) • The largest overhead costs are machine maintenance costs. The cost driver is machine hours, X has five times more hours per unit than Y so gets the largest portion. (1) <p>Max 3</p>	4

Question	Answer	Marks
6(d)	<p>ABC \$ unit 122.58 <u>49.03</u> 171.61 (1)OF</p> <p>X 50 units \$8580.50 (1)OF</p> <p>Advice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ahmed should reject the offer as the offer price (\$8450) is less than his required price. (1) Ahmed still makes profit (1) May be able to build relationship with customer / further orders (1) Ensures work force is not idle / spare capacity (1) <p>1 mark for advice and max 3 for discussion points. Other relevant points acceptable.</p>	6
6(e)	<p>Fairer / more accurate / meaningful allocation of overhead costs. Provides good understanding of what drives the cost. Uses multiple cost drivers so recognises complexity of manufacturing. Useful for decision making (profitability / pricing / discontinue lines). Accurate and reliable cost information. (1 mark) × any two reasons. Max 2</p>	2
	Total:	25